

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/517,410	03/02/2000	Stephen R. Hanna	SMY-219.01	5095	
25181	7590 12/07/20	4	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			ADAMS, JONATHAN R		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 02110		2134		
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/517,410	HANNA ET AL.				
Turico, y Tious.	Examiner	Art Unit .				
•	Jonathan R Adams	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached comments.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 2134

In response to the applicant's argument:

Ganesan does not teach or suggest transmitting, in response to a request from a client,

(i) information that is encrypted with a first encryption key and (ii) a first decryption key

that is usable to decrypt the encrypted information and that is itself encrypted with a

second encryption key, in which the second encryption key is associated with a second

decryption key that is accessible to the client and that is usable to decrypt the encrypted

first encryption key.

Ganesan teaches the subject matter (Fig 5, Ganesan), (Col 10, Line 48-54, Ganesan),

(Col 11, Line 34-35, Ganesan).

In response to the applicant's argument:

Linehan et al. do not teach or suggest the use of second encryption/decryption keys

to encrypt/decrypt the first key

Linehan et al. teach for all communications to be encrypted under a session key

accessible to server and client (Col 8, Lines 20-23, Linehan)

In response to the applicant's argument:

Linehan et al. do not teach or suggest forwarding a first decryption key encrypted with a

group key to a member of a group

Eldridge et al. teach for the password key/group key encrypting the file key (Col 2, Line

36-38, Eldridge)

TECHNOLOG